

### **Native American Land Conservancy**

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

#### **General Evaluation Criteria**

- #1 – Data period should be the most recent complete 12 month period for which accurate data may be obtained.
- #12b – The narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #12c – Response appears to conflict with response to item #12a.

Restoration-Old Woman Mountains Preserve (Mojave)

G09-04-31-R01

#### **Project Description**

- G – The applicant may want to clarify how they plan to insure the restored areas will be protected. Duplicate information has been entered in this section.

#### **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff – The cost item “OHV Coordinator” and “Other-Site Monitor” appears excessive for the activities identified in this project.
- Materials / Supplies – “Signs” are listed twice; the applicant may want to provide additional details relative to the signs.

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – The narrative does not support response with regard to “Sensitive areas”, “Threatened and Endangered”, and “Other special-status Species”. Applicant may want to provide additional details.</li> <li>• #5 – The applicant may want to verify the plan provided is a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports this Restoration project.</li> <li>• #9 – Section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies.</li> <li>• #10 – The narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.</li> <li>• #11 – The item checked appears in conflict with the size of the project (2,650 acres) identified in the project description.</li> </ul>

Education & Safety-Fish Traps and Old Woman Mountains Preserve	G09-04-31-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – The cost item “Administrative Officer” appears excessive for the activities identified in this project. This item may be more appropriate under Indirect Costs.</li> <li>• Contracts – The cost item “Other-Events Coordinator” appears excessive for the activities identified in this project. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary.</li> <li>• Others – The applicant may want to clarify the “Qty” and “Rate” for the “Other-Facility Rental” line item.</li> <li>• Others – “Postage” may be more appropriate under Indirect Costs. The applicant may want to verify the “Rate” for this line item.</li> <li>• Indirect Costs cannot exceed 10% of the Grant Request amount.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #7 – The narrative does not support response with regard to “Process for researching issues and audience”. Applicant may want to provide additional details.</li> </ul>	